Prove AI Value.
Govern AI Authority.

The enterprise operating system for AI capital allocation and risk management.



Most AI portfolios can report activity. **Few can defend a decision.**

The board is not asking for another dashboard. It needs to know what created value, what authority was granted, what risk was accepted, and which surviving evidence proves it.

Scattered Activity



Defensible Decision

The enterprise accountability gap.

The Accountability Gap Matrix

The Illusion	The Reality	The Executive Consequence
Forecast Reported progress	Evidence Finance accepted	Time saved disappears into adoption failure, rework, and run costs. Forecast ≠ evidence.
Access Machine identity inventory	Authority Bounded actions	Agent autonomy outruns human accountability to send, change, and approve. Access ≠ authority.
Documentation Scattered system records	Custody Reconstructable chain	Decisions cannot be reconstructed when business cases, controls, and benefits lack an accountable link. Documentation ≠ custody.

Reported progress is not accepted value.

Time saved disappears when adoption, review, rework, error, and run cost enter the equation.
AI Value Assurance separates forecast claims from finance-accepted reality.



Agent autonomy outruns accountability.

Enterprises inventory models while machine identities acquire the power to send, change, and approve.

Authority must be mechanically bound to accountable human oversight.



The control tower between AI ambition and enterprise consequence.

AVA does not replace delivery, finance, identity, or risk systems. It extracts and reconciles their fragmented evidence into the single operating truth leaders need to act.



Reconciling scattered evidence into one operating truth.



Quadrant 1 (Portfolio truth)

One reconciled register for every AI initiative, accountable owner, delivery stage, and value claim.

Quadrant 3 (Authority control)

See what every agent can read, write, send, approve, or spend—and who answers for it.

Quadrant 2 (Value ledger)

Separate forecast value from evidenced value after rework, run cost, and finance acceptance.

Quadrant 4 (Evidence custody)

Preserve the chain from business case and control testing to approval and board decision.

From scattered activity to one defensible decision cycle.

The decision standard: where value, authority, and evidence intersect.

An initiative only earns capital when it hits the exact center of evidence, authority, and custody.
If it falls outside the intersection, the system forces an intervention.



Four verbs. One accountable record.

Composite scores hide material exceptions. AVA makes the intervention explict, attaches conditions, and records who accepted the consequence.

01 | Scale:

Value is evidenced. Controls hold. Increase deployment.

Capital Released →



02 | Repair:

The outcome remains viable. Correct the value, delivery, or evidence break.

Conditions Set →



03 | Contain:

Authority exceeds tolerance. Restrict action while preserving safe.

Boundary Enforced →



04 | Stop:

The economics or exposure no longer justify continuation.

Spend Released →



The live operating record dictates capital allocation.

Anatomy of a defensible decision.

Decision owner →
Executive Sponsor

Value exposure →
$2.5M

Control score →
49 / 100

Status →
AVA · AI-031 ·
CONTAIN · EVIDENCE 49%

Defensible by design.

If the decision cannot be reconstructed, it did not happen. AVA binds the recommendation, evidence, and accountable human into one durable institutional record.

Attribution sealed at the moment of decision.

Authority boundaries explicitly linked to business outcomes.



Evidence conditions that survive leadership change.

Board reporting generated directly from the immutable operating record.

Every executive sees the same truth—through the authority they hold.



01 | CAIO / CIO:
Allocate capital across the portfolio.

02 | CFO:
Accept value after the claims are removed.

03 | CRO / CISO:
Challenge exposure and contain authority.

04 | Board:
Reconstruct exactly why material decisions were made.

A 90-day path to an executive standard.

A controlled implementation that produces decisions before it produces enterprise integration complexity.



Step 1 (Week 01) |
Portfolio diagnostic: Define materiality, decision rights, existing sources, and the executive questions the record must answer.

Step 2 (Weeks 02–04) |
Operating record: Load the initiative register, value baselines, authority inventory, and evidence requirements.

Step 3 (Weeks 05–08) |
Decision cycle: Run live scale, repair, contain, and stop interventions with accountable owners.

Step 4 (Weeks 09–12) |
Executive standard: Seal governance, management attestations, board reporting, and enterprise expansion plan.

Step 3 (Weeks 05–08) |
Decision cycle: Run live scale, repair, contain, and stop interventions with accountable owners.



Bring one AI portfolio. Leave with the decisions it is avoiding.

We will map the value, authority, and evidence gaps that determine where your capital should move next.

[01] Portfolio value challenge
[02] Agent authority exposure
[03] Decision-record readiness

Confidential inquiry. Your details are used only to respond to this request.